

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/700,159	TAK, SEUNG-HO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Zachary A. Davis	2137	

All Participants:

(1) Zachary A. Davis.

(2) Mitchell Brook (Applicant's representative).

Date of Interview: 18 December 2006

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
n/a

Claims discussed:
n/a

Prior art documents discussed:
n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Examiner requested confirmation as to whether any further reply had been filed to the outstanding final rejection mailed 28 April 2006. Mr. Brook indicated that no reply had been filed.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.


☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Final rejection and Advisory action mailed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 2:30pm EST



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)